

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 THOMAS BENSON,
11 Plaintiff(s),

12 vs.

13 STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,
14 Defendant(s).
15

Case No. 2:17-cv-00447-RFB-NJK

ORDER

(Docket No. 41)

16 Pending before the Court is a motion to quash service of process. Docket No. 41. Although not
17 entirely clear, it appears Plaintiff may have filed a response in opposition. Docket No. 42. Once service
18 is challenged, the plaintiff bears the burden of establishing that service was valid under Rule 4.
19 *Brockmeyer v. May*, 383 F.3d 798, 801 (9th Cir. 2004). Plaintiff has not satisfied that burden.
20 Accordingly, the motion to quash service of process is **GRANTED**.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 DATED: April 17, 2017

23
24 
25 NANCY J. KOPPE
26 United States Magistrate Judge
27
28